

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 09/837,653             | KANTZAS ET AL.      |  |

  

|                  |                 |  |
|------------------|-----------------|--|
| <b>Examiner</b>  | <b>Art Unit</b> |  |
| Ivars C. Cintins | 1724            |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ivars C. Cintins.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Richard Bauer.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 December 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*28 and 29*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

#### **Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Mr. Bauer authorized the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.*

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)